



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 10th MAY 2018

Agenda Item: 12

**SUBJECT:
PROCESSING OF MIXED KERBSIDE RECYCLING**

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
C.Leyshon, R.Lewis, M.Norris & J Rosser.

**Cabinet Member Apologies
County Borough Councillor:**

M. Webber & G Hopkins

**Other County Borough Councillors
In Attendance:**

M Griffiths

1. DECISION MADE:

Following consideration of the report of the Group Director, Corporate & Frontline Services containing exempt information as defined in Paragraph 14 of Part 4 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act, 1972 (as amended), namely information relating to the financial affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information), it was

Agreed –

1. That following consideration of the proposal as set out in the report to support the investment;
2. To authorise officers to initiate a procurement process to secure the most financially and operationally efficient technology; and
3. That a subsequent business case report be presented to Cabinet for consideration and approval.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

To advise Members of the opportunity for the Council's recycling to be processed in a more efficient and effective manner.

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

A prosperous Wales – the investment recognises the role of innovation in the maximisation of recycling;

A globally responsible Wales – reducing the amount of waste sent to landfill remains a priority of the Council and this investment further enables this objective.

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

- None.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- None

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

Barrie Davies - Director, Financial Services declared a personal and prejudicial interest in this matter and left the meeting when the item was discussed and voted upon – “I am a Director of Amgen.”

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):

- N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **18th May, 2018** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

7. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-

Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. URGENT DECISION:-

Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

10th May, 2018
(Dated)