



RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

RECORD OF DECISIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE

DECISION MADE BY: Cabinet DATE DECISION MADE: 10th MAY 2018

Agenda Item: 3

**SUBJECT:
SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING GUIDANCE HOUSES IN MULTIPLE
OCCUPATION**

**Cabinet Members Present
County Borough Councillors:**

A.Morgan (Chairman), R.Bevan, A.Crimmings,
C.Leyshon, R.Lewis, M.Norris & J Rosser.

**Cabinet Member Apologies
County Borough Councillor:**

M. Webber & G Hopkins

**Other County Borough Councillors
In Attendance:**

R Yeo

1. DECISION MADE:

Agreed –

1. To note the consultation record and the responses to the consultation representations, as attached as at appendix 1 of the report.
2. To adopt the Supplementary Planning Guidance, '*Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs)*' for planning policy purposes, as detailed in appendix 2 of the report.

2. REASON FOR THE DECISION BEING MADE:

The need to advise Cabinet Members of the consultation responses received in relation to the proposed Supplementary Planning Guidance in respect of Houses in Multiple Occupation.

Supplementary Planning Guidance can be used to assist in the consideration of planning applications both in terms of resisting applications for inappropriate HMOs or HMOs in areas that have already got high concentrations and also assist in raising the standard of new HMOs and guiding any future HMOs to the most appropriate locations

3. LINKS TO CORPORATE PRIORITIES / FUTURE GENERATIONS – SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT.

The HMO SPG will support the Corporate Plan across People, Place and Economy by ensuring that established communities are not displaced and cohesion undermined by concentrations emerging of housing for short-term residents

As the SPG is designed to avoid the negative effects on neighbourhoods of concentrations of HMO, it will contribute to achieving well-being objectives

4. CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN PRIOR TO DECISION BEING MADE:

As outlined within section 5 of the report a the Council published the HMO SPG for consultation for 8 weeks between the 25th January and the 23rd March 2018, including a wide range of stakeholders and the Public Service Delivery, Communities & Prosperity Scrutiny Committee.

5. PREVIOUS CONSIDERATION BY A COMMITTEE OF THE COUNCIL

- [Cabinet – 19th December, 2017](#)
- [Public Service Delivery, Communities and Prosperity Scrutiny Committee – 22nd March, 2018](#)

6. PERSONAL INTERESTS DECLARED:

None

7. DISPENSATION TO SPEAK (AS GRANTED BY STANDARDS COMMITTEE):
N/A

8. (a) IS THE DECISION SUBJECT TO CALL-IN BY THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

YES NO

Note: This decision will not come into force and may not be implemented until the expiry of 5 clear working days after its publication i.e. **18th May, 2018** to enable it to be the subject to the Call-In Procedure in Rule 17.1 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules.

8. (b) IF NO, REASONS WHY IN THE OPINION OF THE DECISION-MAKER THE DECISION IS DEEMED EXEMPT OR NON APPLICABLE:

7. COUNCIL FUNCTION (CALL IN IS THEREFORE NON APPLICABLE):-

Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. URGENT DECISION:-

Reason:.....**N/A**.....

8. (c) IF DEEMED URGENT - SIGNATURE OF MAYOR OR DEPUTY MAYOR OR HEAD OF PAID SERVICE CONFIRMING AGREEMENT THAT THE PROPOSED DECISION IS REASONABLE IN ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES FOR IT BEING TREATED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY PROCEDURE RULE 17.2:

N/A

.....
(Mayor)

.....
(Dated)



.....
(Proper Officer)

10th May, 2018
(Dated)