

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL

MUNICIPAL YEAR 2018/19

**OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY
COMMITTEE**

22nd October 2018

Item No. 4

Wales Audit Office – Overview and Scrutiny 'Fit for the Future'
--

REPORT OF:-

**THE DIRECTOR OF COMMUNICATIONS AND INTERIM HEAD OF DEMOCRATIC
SERVICES**

Author: Christian Hanagan

1. PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

- 1.1 The purpose of the report is to provide Members with an update on the latest Wales Audit Office (WAO) report issued in respect of the Council's Scrutiny arrangements, 'Fit for the Future', and to sign post Members to the actions that will be taken in response to the proposals for improvement that will further improve these arrangements, as part of the wider Annual Improvement Report issued by the Wales Audit Office.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee:

- 2.1 Form a view on the adequacy of Action Plan set out to support improvements to the Council's existing scrutiny arrangements;
- 2.2 Subject to 2.1 above, approve the Action Plan; and
- 2.3 Instruct the Interim Director of Democratic Services to implement and monitor the agreed Action Plan providing regular up dates of progress, and where deemed appropriate, further amendments, to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

3. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 3.1 Members will be aware that the Council's Scrutiny arrangements have been subject to two reports by the WAO since 2014:

- In [July 2015](#) the Council implemented new arrangements for Overview and Scrutiny which took account of the national report issued in May 2014, '[Good Scrutiny? Good Question!](#)' In [November 2015](#), the Overview and Scrutiny Committee agreed an Action Plan and a detailed update arising from the publication of 'Good Scrutiny? Good Question!'; and
- 9 March 2017 [Cabinet](#) and subsequently [Audit Committee](#) on 20 March, received a report in respect of 'Good Governance when determining significant service change'.

3.2 Since these two reports have been issued, there has been significant changes in the Scrutiny function which are strengthening the Council's overall governance arrangements. Changes include increasing use of pre scrutiny, more focused work that leads to tangible outcomes for the residents of Rhondda Cynon Taf through Scrutiny Working Groups and changes to the management arrangements and resourcing of the Scrutiny function. We are continuing to implement a number of other planned changes.

4. WALES AUDIT OFFICE REPORT - 'FIT FOR THE FUTURE'

4.1 Set out at Appendix 1 is the latest WAO report in respect of scrutiny arrangements 'Fit for the Future' which was issued in July 2018. The review was conducted between December 2017 and January 2018.

4.2 The report does not reflect the more recent changes put in place to strengthen the scrutiny arrangements. However, the report is generally positive, reflecting the progress that has been made in the Council's scrutiny arrangements since the previous WAO reports in 2015. However, it also sets out the need for strengthened support for scrutiny, including scrutiny capacity and Member training.

4.3 The report concludes the Council:

1. has increased the role of overview and scrutiny in its improvement and governance arrangements, but could strengthen support for the scrutiny function;
2. has improved overview and scrutiny practice, but activity is not always well-planned; and
3. is beginning to evaluate the effectiveness of its overview and scrutiny function, but needs to do further work to demonstrate the impact of scrutiny.

4.4 The report also sets out five Proposals for Improvement which are set out in Appendix 2 together with the planned actions the Council is undertaking that will address these proposals. In summary, the proposals for improvement state

“The Council should

1. review whether specific training could better prepare overview and scrutiny Members to respond to current and future challenges. This could include training on Cardiff Capital Region City Deal and Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015.
2. review the level and type of scrutiny support required to enable the scrutiny function to respond to current and future challenges
3. ensure that Members have the opportunity to adequately prepare for scrutiny activity by having access to information in advance of scrutiny committee meetings.
4. Forward work programmes for overview and scrutiny committees. The Council should
 - a) strengthen committee ownership of forward work programmes and committee agendas; and
 - b) clarify and promote arrangements for adding items to forward work programmes for Members and the public.
5. improve the arrangements in place to evaluate the impact of scrutiny.”

5. EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 There are no Equality and Diversity implications arising from this report and no Equality Impact Assessment is deemed necessary for the purposes of this report.

6. CONSULTATION

- 6.1 Following consideration by Overview and Scrutiny Committee, this report will be presented to Audit Committee to inform its assurance of the Council's Governance arrangements and then returned to Overview and Scrutiny for monitoring. Progress in respect of the scrutiny arrangements will also inform the next Scrutiny Annual Report.

7. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 There are no financial implications as a result of the recommendations set out in the report.

8. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OR LEGISLATION CONSIDERED

- 8.1 There are no legal implications as a result of the recommendations set out in the report.

9. LINKS TO CORPORATE AND NATIONAL PRIORITIES AND THE WELL-BEING OF FUTURE GENERATIONS ACT

- 9.1 The action plan to address the WAO report set out in Appendix 2 includes arrangements to strengthen the Council's consideration and scrutiny of its work, through 'the lens of the requirements of the Well-being of Future Generations

Act'. These arrangements will be embedded into the business of the Council as set out in the Policy Statement agreed by Cabinet on [2 November 2016](#).

10. CONCLUSION

- 10.1 The Council is continuing its work to strengthen its scrutiny arrangements and will use the findings arising from the WAO reports to inform this work.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT, 1972
as amended by
THE ACCESS TO INFORMATION ACT, 1985
RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL
LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS
OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE
22nd October 2018

Report of the Director of Communications and Interim Head of Democratic Services

Author: Christian Hanagan

Item

- 5. The Council's response to the Wales Audit Office – Overview and Scrutiny 'Fit for the Future' Report**

Contact Officer: Christian Hanagan



WALES AUDIT OFFICE
SWYDDFA ARCHWILIO CYMRU

Archwilydd Cyffredinol Cymru
Auditor General for Wales

Overview and Scrutiny: Fit for the future? – Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council

Audit year: 2017-18

Date issued: July 2018

Document reference: 733A2018-19



This document has been prepared as part of work performed in accordance with statutory functions.

In the event of receiving a request for information to which this document may be relevant, attention is drawn to the Code of Practice issued under section 45 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

The section 45 code sets out the practice in the handling of requests that is expected of public authorities, including consultation with relevant third parties. In relation to this document, the Auditor General for Wales and the Wales Audit Office are relevant third parties. Any enquiries regarding disclosure or re-use of this document should be sent to the Wales Audit Office at

infoofficer@audit.wales.

We welcome correspondence and telephone calls in Welsh and English. Corresponding in Welsh will not lead to delay. Rydym yn croesawu gohebiaeth a galwadau ffôn yn Gymraeg a Saesneg. Ni fydd gohebu yn Gymraeg yn arwain at oedi.

The team who delivered the work comprised Katherine Simmons, Justine Morgan and Colin Davies under the direction of Jane Holownia.

Contents

The Council's overview and scrutiny function is developing, but more effective planning and evaluation of overview and scrutiny activity is needed to ensure the function is fit for the future.

Summary report

Summary	4
Proposals for Improvement	5

Detailed report

The Council has increased the role of overview and scrutiny in its improvement and governance arrangements, but could strengthen support for the scrutiny function	6
The Council has improved overview and scrutiny practice, but activity is not always well-planned	8
The Council is beginning to evaluate the effectiveness of its overview and scrutiny function, but needs to do further work to demonstrate the impact of scrutiny	10

Appendices

Appendix 1 – Outcomes and Characteristics for Effective Local Government Overview and Scrutiny	12
Appendix 2 – Recommendations from the report of the Auditor General's National Improvement Study 'Good Scrutiny? Good Question' (May 2014)	14

Summary report

Summary

- 1 This review explored with each of the 22 councils in Wales how 'fit for the future' their scrutiny functions are. We considered how councils are responding to current challenges, including the Wellbeing of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 (WFG Act) in relation to their scrutiny activity, as well as how councils are beginning to undertake scrutiny of Public Service Boards (PSBs). We also examined how well placed councils are to respond to future challenges such as continued pressure on public finances and the possible move towards more regional working between local authorities.
- 2 We also reviewed the progress that councils have made in addressing the recommendations of our earlier National Improvement Study 'Good Scrutiny? Good Question' (May 2014) (see Appendix 2). We followed up on the proposals for improvement relevant to scrutiny that we issued in local reports including those issued to councils as part of our 2016-17 thematic reviews of Savings Planning and Governance Arrangements for Determining Significant Service Changes.
- 3 Our review aimed to:
 - identify approaches to embedding the sustainable development principle into scrutiny processes and practices to inform practice sharing and future work of the Auditor General in relation to the WFG Act;
 - provide assurance that scrutiny functions are well placed to respond to current and future challenges and expectations;
 - help to embed effective scrutiny by elected members from the start of the new electoral cycle; and
 - provide insight into how well councils have responded to the findings of our previous Scrutiny Improvement Study.
- 4 To inform our findings we based our review methodology around the Outcomes and Characteristics for Effective Local Government Overview and Scrutiny that were developed and agreed by scrutiny stakeholders in Wales following our previous National Improvement Study 'Good Scrutiny? Good Question'.
- 5 During December 2017 and January 2018, we undertook document reviews, interviewed a small number of key officers and ran focus groups with key councillors to understand their views on Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council's (the Council) current scrutiny arrangements and in particular how the Council is approaching and intends to respond to the challenges identified above.
- 6 We observed a sample of scrutiny meetings and reviewed relevant meeting documentation provided to members to support their scrutiny role, such as reports and presentations.
- 7 In this review we concluded that the overview and scrutiny function is developing, but more effective planning and evaluation of overview and scrutiny activity is

needed to ensure the function is fit for the future. We came to this conclusion because:

- the Council has increased the role of overview and scrutiny in its improvement and governance arrangements, but could strengthen support for the scrutiny function;
- the Council has improved overview and scrutiny practice, but activity is not always well-planned; and
- the Council is beginning to evaluate the effectiveness of its overview and scrutiny function, but needs to do further work to demonstrate the impact of scrutiny.

Proposals for improvement

8 **Exhibit 1** contains our proposals for ways in which the Council could improve the effectiveness of its overview and scrutiny function to make it better placed to meet current and future challenges.

Exhibit 1: proposals for improvement

Proposals for improvement	
P1	The Council should review whether specific training could better prepare overview and scrutiny members to respond to current and future challenges. This could include training on Cardiff Capital Region City Deal and Well-Being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015.
P2	The Council should review the level and type of scrutiny support required to enable the scrutiny function to respond to current and future challenges.
P3	The Council should ensure that members have the opportunity to adequately prepare for scrutiny activity by having access to information in advance of scrutiny committee meetings.
Forward work programmes for overview and scrutiny committees:	
P4	The Council should: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. strengthen committee ownership of forward work programmes and committee agendas; and b. clarify and promote arrangements for adding items to forward work programmes for members and the public.
P5	The Council should improve the arrangements in place to evaluate the impact of scrutiny.

Detailed report

The Council's overview and scrutiny function is developing, but more effective planning and evaluation of overview and scrutiny activity is needed to ensure the function is fit for the future

The Council has increased the role of overview and scrutiny in its improvement and governance arrangements, but could strengthen support for the scrutiny function

- 9 In 2015 the Council agreed a revised structure for its overview and scrutiny committees. This was the first significant change to the overview and scrutiny committee structure since 2002, as the Council sought to develop a more outcome-focused approach to its arrangements. The revised and current structure is four thematic scrutiny committees and an overarching Overview and Scrutiny Committee. The Council's Constitution and the Overview and Scrutiny 2016/17 Annual Report¹ define and describe the role of the overview and scrutiny function in the Council's governance and improvement arrangements. The systematic involvement of scrutiny in monitoring the impact of service change and the regular referral of decisions for scrutiny consideration from Cabinet suggest that the role of overview and scrutiny within the Council is becoming increasingly significant.
- 10 We looked at the training and support available to scrutiny members to understand the environment in which the overview and scrutiny function operates. In June 2017 all scrutiny members were invited to a training session on 'how to make a difference' and effective questioning and listening skills. Some committees also received tailored presentations on 'achieving effective scrutiny' to support the development of their work programmes. Training is a standard item on the agenda for the Chairs and Vice Chairs meeting, scrutiny chairs have training on chairing and members described informal mentoring or development opportunities to support learning. For example, Vice Chairs have been encouraged to lead on working groups to gain chairing experience. Training on understanding the Council's budgets and performance data is provided to the Finance and Performance Scrutiny Committee, but not to the other committees. This could be a weakness in arrangements. Even though the Finance and Performance Scrutiny Committee is the main reviewer of performance information, it is likely that all scrutiny members will need to consider some performance data and would benefit from enhanced training. The Council could consider if further training linked to current and future challenges that may affect the work of scrutiny would also help to strengthen the scrutiny function. This could include specific training on Cardiff

¹ Rhondda Cynon Taff County Borough Council, **Overview and Scrutiny 2016/17 Annual Report, 2017**

Capital Region City Deal and Well-Being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015. Specific training was provided to support members on the Cwm Taf Public Services Board Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee in co-ordination with Merthyr Tydfil CBC.

- 11 The Head of Democratic Services and two Democratic Services Officers currently provide dedicated support for the overview and scrutiny function. The number of support staff has reduced but the Council has reallocated responsibilities in recognition of this. Responsibility for member training now lies with the Council's Human Resources Department and the Executive and Regulatory Business Unit support regulatory committees. Officers from within Cabinet Office and Public Relations have also provided support to the overview and scrutiny function. This has sought to improve the processes for public engagement and for providing scrutiny members with details on the Cabinet work programme. In light of changes to support arrangements the Council should review the current support needs of scrutiny members and consider what support they may need in the future. Scrutiny members felt that support for overview and scrutiny was generally sufficient, but they raised concerns as to whether there would be capacity to support the scrutiny function in the context of current and future budget reductions.
- 12 Scrutiny reports generally include appropriate content. However, we saw occasions when officers provided verbal reports, or presentations which had not been included within the agenda papers issued in advance. In these instances, it would be difficult for scrutiny members to sufficiently prepare for their committee discussion, which could result in ineffective scrutiny or inefficient use of committee time.
- 13 While most scrutiny members could articulate their roles and responsibilities in relation to overview and scrutiny, some members were less clear on the purpose of the scrutiny function. Limited evidence of the impact of scrutiny, and a lack of ownership by scrutiny committees of aspects of their forward work programmes suggest the need for further clarity on what the scrutiny function seeks to achieve. In response to our National Improvement Study 'Good Scrutiny? Good Question' (May 2014), the roles of Executive members in relation to scrutiny have been agreed and incorporated into Cabinet role descriptions.² At committee meetings officers usually present reports and most questions are directed to them. However, the Council has recently adopted a system whereby Cabinet members provide quarterly updates on portfolio progress to scrutiny committees. This is a positive step in increasing accountability and provides scrutiny members with an additional opportunity to fulfil their role of holding the executive to account. Since Cabinet member portfolios do not directly align with scrutiny committee remits however, some committees have a number of Cabinet members reporting to them. There is the risk that this arrangement will have a disproportionate impact on these

² Auditor General, **National Improvement Study 'Good Scrutiny? Good Question'**, **Wales Audit Office, May 2014**

committee work programmes compared to others. For example, the Public Service Delivery, Communities, and Prosperity Committee has at least five Cabinet portfolios, which will report to it. On a practical level, it may be more difficult for these scrutiny committees and their Chairs to meet regularly with all relevant Cabinet members. Most members felt that the relationship between overview and scrutiny and Cabinet was effective.

The Council has improved overview and scrutiny practice, but activity is not always well-planned

- 14 The Council has taken steps to improve the development of scrutiny work programmes. In 2017, the first session of the Chairs and Vice Chairs group included a presentation on forward work programming by the Chair of Overview and Scrutiny. This set out external feedback on the scrutiny function, supported by principles for more effective planning and key areas for improvement. An external facilitator presented tailored sessions on developing work programmes to the Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee and the Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee.
- 15 Within its remit, the Overview and Scrutiny Committee reviews thematic committees' forward work programmes to ensure efficient use of time and reduce the risk of duplication. While there are clear benefits to this approach, scrutiny members should be mindful that (as the Council's Constitution sets out), 'any Member of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee or thematic Scrutiny Committees shall be entitled to give notice to the Proper Officer that he/she wishes an item relevant to the functions of the Committee to be included on the agenda for, and be discussed at a meeting of the Committee.' We observed a session where members were not clear on how items are added to committee forward work programmes or the role of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee in these arrangements.
- 16 The Overview and Scrutiny Committee also has a role in monitoring delivery of the thematic scrutiny committee work programmes, which is achieved by chairs reporting to the committee within the year on progress to date. It is positive that the Council seeks to bring additional rigour and challenge to delivering scrutiny work programmes, but it is difficult to assess the effectiveness of these arrangements since the minutes for these items do not set out the discussion or the impact this may have had.
- 17 Although there are a number of potential strengths in the arrangements to develop forward work programmes, there are weaknesses in the way in which agendas for individual committee meetings are subsequently determined. Scrutiny Chairs expressed frustration that occasionally items were added or absent from their committee meeting agendas and they were only made aware of this when papers for the meeting were circulated. It is expected that work programmes will retain some flexibility to accommodate emerging issues or the availability of key participants, but the purpose of developing forward work programmes is to support

scrutiny committee members in undertaking well-planned and informed scrutiny. Without the active engagement of officers with Chairs and their committees on the scheduling of scrutiny items, there is risk to the effective delivery of scrutiny committee work programmes. This has the potential to undermine scrutiny committees' ownership of their own work programmes. It is important therefore, that officers have a proper understanding of the role of overview and scrutiny committees, and the arrangements that committees have adopted to determine the issues they will scrutinise.

- 18 We made two recommendations in relation to overview and scrutiny in our Good Governance when determining significant service changes review in February 2017.³ These were:
- That the Council clarify and promote the arrangements for public participation in its overview and scrutiny process in order to encourage and promote public involvement; and
 - That the Council publish its overview and scrutiny committees' forward work programme(s) as stand-alone document(s) on the Council website to increase openness and transparency.
- 19 In response to these recommendations and recognising the need to promote scrutiny activity, the Council has started to take steps to promote public involvement in its overview and scrutiny process. The Council has begun to establish a dedicated social media presence, supported by resources within the Council's corporate communications function to promote the work of scrutiny. The Council has also developed a series of scrutiny web pages, which went live in January 2018. These include links to scrutiny committee meeting papers, recent reports and a placeholder for a planned scrutiny chairs blog. The web pages are a positive step in making information relating to scrutiny activity more accessible and they include links to the scrutiny committee work programmes. However, while the overview and scrutiny committee work programme is in final format, the other scrutiny committees' work programmes are entitled 'information to consider as part of drafting the scrutiny work programme for 2017/18'. The four thematic scrutiny committee work programmes have varied formats and criteria for including items, agenda items are listed as 'possible topics' and some are incomplete. This could make the status of the documents unclear to a member of the public. None of the forward work programmes clearly articulates the rationale for item selection or the role of the scrutiny committee in considering it. Including information about how the public or other stakeholders can suggest items for inclusion on scrutiny committee work programmes would improve the web pages.
- 20 There are some clear examples where scrutiny committees have undertaken well-planned task and finish groups that have incorporated a wide range of perspectives. The recent working group dealing with Free Sanitary Provisions in Schools consulted with almost 800 female learners plus engagement with a range

³ Good Governance when determining significant service changes review

of stakeholders. Similarly, there was a working group, which conducted a review in respect of the effectiveness of the Governing Bodies across RCT, which sought the views of head teachers and staff. Working groups produce terms of reference at their first session and this clearly sets out the scope, intended output and evidence required. This ensures that the scrutiny activity has a clear rationale, objective and is evidence based. This document would benefit from the inclusion of timescales for delivery and consideration of how scrutiny members will measure the impact from activity. While working groups show clear planning, as with many councils in Wales, the majority of scrutiny activity is centred on committee-based activity, which focuses on the presentation of officer reports. We found little evidence to suggest that this takes into consideration the most appropriate methodology, what additional evidence may be needed or the intended impact of scrutiny activity.

- 21 As a result of increased oversight of the Cabinet forward work programme, scrutiny committees are beginning to select items for pre-scrutiny on a more regular basis. The Council has made changes to its Cabinet work programme to provide greater clarity to the public and overview and scrutiny. The Cabinet is supportive of pre-decision scrutiny of the topics contained within their work programme and there are examples of Cabinet referring items to scrutiny committees for their consideration.
- 22 We also considered how councils are beginning to undertake scrutiny of Public Service Boards. The Cwm Taf Public Services Board Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committee held their first meeting in December 2017 and scrutiny of the PSB is in its early stages.

The Council is beginning to evaluate the effectiveness of its overview and scrutiny function, but needs to do further work to demonstrate the impact of scrutiny

- 23 Since its 2015 review of scrutiny arrangements, the Council has been working towards shifting the focus of overview and scrutiny from producing outputs to having meaningful impact on citizens.
- 24 There is a system in place to track scrutiny recommendations and a clear process for these recommendations to be presented to Cabinet. Cabinet take a decision on whether they endorse the scrutiny recommendations, subject to further consideration by the Cabinet Portfolio holder for the relevant area. Subsequently, a detailed response is provided to the scrutiny committee either through a formal letter or by Cabinet Member attendance at the committee (or both).
- 25 There are a number of examples of evidence-based outputs from scrutiny committee working groups. These are frequently solution focused. It is positive that scrutiny committees have selected topics such as the working group dealing with Free Sanitary Provisions in Schools, where members feel overview and scrutiny can provide insight, gather a range of evidence and can make a difference.
- 26 However, the majority of the activity undertaken by the scrutiny function is within scrutiny committees. Meeting papers suggest that there are a number of instances

where items were 'resolved to be received' or 'acknowledged' by the committee. These items were scrutinised without a clear course of action resulting from scrutiny consideration. Similarly, we found several recommendations for scrutiny items to be brought back to committee for an update at a given date. The purpose or rationale for these updates or timescales is not always clearly articulated. Members emphasised to us the challenges in ensuring they have the time to scrutinise important issues, which suggests a need to ensure a more rigorous prioritisation of items before they are added to work programmes going forward.

- 27 The Council recognises the challenges in demonstrating the impact of overview and scrutiny on services and citizens. The Overview and Scrutiny Annual Report 2016/17 is a significant step in connecting scrutiny activity with 'what scrutiny has achieved'. It is positive that the annual report includes areas that chairs feel could be improved in the process and there is evidence of where members and officers within the Council are seeking to address these weaknesses. However, we found little evidence setting out which scrutiny recommendations initially endorsed by Cabinet have been taken forward to implementation, and with what effect. In addition, the Council has not examined whether those recommendations have made a difference to citizens. The evaluation of the effectiveness of the overview and scrutiny function would be improved if it built on a broader evidence base; for example, qualitative feedback from Council stakeholders and from those that scrutiny activity is intended to have an impact on.

Appendix 1

Outcomes and characteristics for effective local government overview and scrutiny

Outcome What does good scrutiny seek to achieve?	Characteristics What would it look like? How could we recognise it?
<p>1. Democratic accountability drives improvement in public services.</p> <p>‘Better Services’</p>	<p>Environment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Scrutiny has a clearly defined and valued role in the council's improvement arrangements. ii) Scrutiny has the dedicated officer support it needs from officers who are able to undertake independent research effectively, and provide Scrutiny members with high-quality analysis, advice and training. <p>Practice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> iii) Overview and Scrutiny inquiries are non-political, methodologically sound and incorporate a wide range of evidence and perspectives. <p>Impact</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> iv) Overview and scrutiny regularly engages in evidence-based challenge of decision makers and service providers. v) Scrutiny provides viable and well-evidenced solutions to recognised problems.
<p>2. Democratic decision making is accountable, inclusive and robust.</p> <p>‘Better decisions’</p>	<p>Environment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Scrutiny councillors have the training and development opportunities they need to undertake their role effectively. ii) The process receives effective support from the Council's Corporate Management Team who ensures that information provided to scrutiny is of high quality and provided in a timely and consistent manner. <p>Practice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> iii) Scrutiny is Member led and has `ownership` of its work programme taking into account the views of the public, partners and regulators whilst balancing between prioritising community concerns against issues of strategic risk and importance.

Outcome What does good scrutiny seek to achieve?	Characteristics What would it look like? How could we recognise it?
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> iv) Stakeholders have the ability to contribute to the development and delivery of scrutiny forward work programmes. v) Overview and scrutiny meetings and activities are well-planned, chaired effectively and make best use of the resources available to it. <p>Impact</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> vi) Non-executive Members provide an evidence based check and balance to Executive decision making. vii) Decision makers give public account for themselves at scrutiny committees for their portfolio responsibilities.
<p>3. The public is engaged in democratic debate about the current and future delivery of public services.</p> <p>'Better engagement'</p>	<p>Environment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) Scrutiny is recognised by the Executive and Corporate Management team as an important council mechanism for community engagement. <p>Practice</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ii) Scrutiny is characterised by effective communication to raise awareness of, and encourage participation in democratic accountability. iii) Scrutiny operates non-politically and deals effectively with sensitive political issues, tension and conflict. iv) Scrutiny builds trust and good relationships with a wide variety of internal and external stakeholders. <p>Impact</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> v) Overview and scrutiny enables the 'voice' of local people and communities across the area to be heard as part of decision and policy-making processes.

Appendix 2

Recommendations from the report of the Auditor General's National Improvement Study 'Good Scrutiny? Good Question' (May 2014)

Recommendation	Responsible Partners
R1 Clarify the role of executive members and senior officers in contributing to scrutiny.	Councils, Welsh Government, Welsh Local Government Association
R2 Ensure that scrutiny members, and specifically scrutiny chairs, receive training and support to fully equip them with the skills required to undertake effective scrutiny.	Councils, Welsh Government, Welsh Local Government Association
R3 Further develop scrutiny forward work programming to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provide a clear rationale for topic selection; • be more outcome focussed; • ensure that the method of scrutiny is best suited to the topic area and the outcome desired; and • align scrutiny programmes with the council's performance management, self-evaluation and improvement arrangements. 	Councils
R4 Ensure that scrutiny draws effectively on the work of audit, inspection and regulation and that its activities are complementary with the work of external review bodies.	Councils, Staff of the Wales Audit Office, CSSIW, Estyn
R5 Ensure that external review bodies take account of scrutiny work programmes and the outputs of scrutiny activity, where appropriate, in planning and delivering their work.	Staff of the Wales Audit Office, CSSIW, Estyn
R6 Ensure that the impact of scrutiny is properly evaluated and acted upon to improve the function's effectiveness; including following up on proposed actions and examining outcomes.	Councils, Welsh Government, Welsh Local Government Association
R7 Undertake regular self-evaluation of scrutiny utilising the 'outcomes and characteristics of effective local government overview and scrutiny'.	Council

Recommendation	Responsible Partners
developed by the Wales Overview & Scrutiny Officers' Network.	
R8 Implement scrutiny improvement action plans developed from the Wales Audit Office improvement study.	Councils
R9 Adopt Participation Cymru's 10 Principles for Public Engagement in improving the way scrutiny engages with the public and stakeholders.	Councils

Wales Audit Office
24 Cathedral Road
Cardiff CF11 9LJ

Tel: 029 2032 0500

Fax: 029 2032 0600

Textphone.: 029 2032 0660

E-mail: info@audit.wales

Website: www.audit.wales

Swyddfa Archwilio Cymru
24 Heol y Gadeirlan
Caerdydd CF11 9LJ

Ffôn: 029 2032 0500

Ffacs: 029 2032 0600

Ffôn testun: 029 2032 0660

E-bost: post@archwilio.cymru

Gwefan: www.archwilio.cymru

Wales Audit Office [Scrutiny Fit for the Future](#) - July 2018

What are we trying to achieve, the outcome	Strengthened governance through improved Scrutiny work planning, project delivery, evaluation and involvement of residents.
Why we need to do it:	A follow up review of the Council's scrutiny arrangements has identified further opportunities to enhance the impact of scrutiny on the Council's Services for the people and communities in Rhondda Cynon Taf.

Proposals for improvement The Council should	Council's Response	Next Steps	Timescale	Responsible Officer
WAO FFF 1 review whether specific training could better prepare overview and scrutiny members to respond to current and future challenges. This could include training on Cardiff Capital Region City Deal and Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015.	<p>AGREED</p> <p>The training needs of Members have been reviewed through a Personal Development Review process as part of the Council's ongoing commitment to Member development.</p> <p>Training needs in respect of Well-being of Future Generations Act and the Cardiff Regional City Deal, among other things have already been identified as part of this process.</p> <p>Well-being of Future Generations Act- Following initial training in respect of Well-being of Future Generations Act at Member induction, a Scrutiny Working Group has been established to develop RCT scrutiny arrangements that can be incorporated into existing processes. This will include consideration of a draft Scrutiny Framework</p>	<p>A new approach to providing training that will strengthen arrangements for Member development will be presented to Democratic Services Committee for consideration on 25 October.</p> <p>Working Group meetings have scheduled between October and January.</p>	<p>Arrangements to be put in place by the start of the new municipal year</p> <p>October 2018 and January 2019</p>	<p>Christian Hanagan</p> <p>Christian Hanagan</p>

Proposals for improvement The Council should	Council's Response	Next Steps	Timescale	Responsible Officer
	<p>which is being developed by the office of the Future Generations Commissioner. It is anticipated that Members of the Working Group will act as WFG 'Champions' within their respective Committees to support any changes to the scrutiny arrangements arising from the findings of the working group.</p> <p>Cardiff City Region City Deal- scrutiny arrangements have been established, with Bridgend Council taking forward the lead. We are working closely with colleagues in Bridgend to ensure that Members of the Board representing the council are provided with sufficient training and support to allow them to undertake their scrutiny role in this area.</p> <p>The Council is responsive to Members requests for training and changing legislation, with forthcoming training to be provided in respect of GDPR, which we will share with our Community and Town Council colleagues to ensure all elected representatives for RCT are supported.</p>	<p>CCRC Training is being discussed at the first meeting of the City Deal Joint Scrutiny which is taking place on the 15.10.18</p> <p>Subject to the agreement of Democratic Services Committee GDPR Training to take place prior to full Council on the 28.11.18</p>	<p>Following the Joint Scrutiny Committees first meeting in October</p> <p>November 2018.</p>	<p>Christian Hanagan</p> <p>Christian Hanagan</p>
<p>WAO FFF 2 review the level and type of scrutiny support required to</p>	<p>AGREED The Council is constantly reviewing capacity and support arrangements. Most recently at</p>	<p>Further detail that will</p>		

Proposals for improvement The Council should	Council's Response	Next Steps	Timescale	Responsible Officer
enable the scrutiny function to respond to current and future challenges	<p>the Democratic Services Committee in July, 2018 it was agreed that Christian Hanagan be designated the interim Head of Democratic Services (HODS) following the retirement of Karyl May. The Committee further agreed to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • create a Council Business Unit that will support the Executive and Non-Executive Members; • make the Member support functions more resilient; and • reinvest any efficiency savings arising to increase the capacity of the Business Unit to support the Scrutiny Committees in undertaking their duties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • enhance officer support available to non-executive members • provide assistance for members to better prepare for scrutiny and • Strengthen research support for members • improved support for the Council's scrutiny functions, will be included in the statutory 'Sufficiency of Resources' report which will be presented to the Democratic Services Committee on 25 October 2018. 	Democratic Services Committee 25 October 2018.	Christian Hanagan
WAO FFF 3 ensure that members have the opportunity to adequately prepare for scrutiny activity by having access to information in advance of scrutiny committee meetings.	AGREED - Through the new Council Business Unit, the Council will ensure that Members have sufficient access to information and Officer reports in the specified time-lines as set out in the Council's constitution. Also, that that any presentations from Officers supplement Officer reports and recommendations, where appropriate, and are not stand alone items. This will be strengthened by the Head of Democratic Services now being part of the Senior Leadership Team.	See above	Following the Democratic Services Committee October 2018	Christian Hanagan

Proposals for improvement The Council should	Council's Response	Next Steps	Timescale	Responsible Officer
	<p>The recent development of the Cabinet/Scrutiny Engagement sessions with Scrutiny Chairs and Cabinet portfolio holders will continue to enhance this approach, with timely discussions of forward work programmes and opportunities for Scrutiny committees.</p> <p>Greater transparency of the detailed Cabinet work programme will also assist Scrutiny Members to establish items for pre-scrutiny and associated timelines.</p>			
<p>WAO FFF 4</p> <p>a) strengthen committee ownership of forward work programmes and committee agendas; and</p>	<p>AGREED - The Council continues to strengthen ownership of forward work programmes and committee agendas. Steps include</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overview and Scrutiny Committee's (O&S) consideration of the quarterly Cabinet work programme. This enables O&S to refer matters to other Scrutiny Committees to help them plan their work programmes • Regular meetings between Scrutiny Chairs with associated Cabinet Members and Group Directors. These meetings address the cabinet work programme, policy developments and scrutiny priorities and have strengthened Members' overall understanding of the Council's business. 			

Proposals for improvement The Council should	Council's Response	Next Steps	Timescale	Responsible Officer
<p>b) clarify and promote arrangements for adding items to forward work programmes for members and the public.</p>	<p>At its meeting on 27 June the Council agreed a Council Work Programme which will help to make the business of the Council more transparent and although we know have more to do we've have started to make changes. This includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> meetings of Scrutiny Committees being held in locations within communities across RCT. The aim of this is to encourage greater public engagement in the development of work programmes and attendance and involvement at Committee meetings. However, it is too early to evaluate the extent to which this has been achieved. dedicated pages for Scrutiny have been included on the Council's Website. These pages contain detailed information about the role of Scrutiny, projects that have been introduced as a result of Scrutiny intervention and a 'Scrutiny Chairs' Blog' which currently contains contributions from two Scrutiny Chairs. Implementation of additional pages 'Engage with the Council' which provide options by which residents can engage in the Council's decision making processes, are imminent. 	<p>Review the effectiveness and impact of holding Scrutiny Committee meeting in locations across RCT.</p> <p>Continue to develop dedicated Scrutiny pages.</p> <p>Ensure the implementation of the 'Engage with the Council' Web pages.</p>	<p>May 2019</p> <p>Ongoing</p> <p>October 2018</p>	<p>Christian Hanagan</p> <p>Christian Hanagan</p> <p>Christian Hanagan</p>

Proposals for improvement The Council should	Council's Response	Next Steps	Timescale	Responsible Officer
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> work to ensure the format of work programmes is fit for purpose and user friendly for both Members and residents. arrangements to ensure that meetings of Chairs and Vice-chairs of Scrutiny receive regular updates on Council business being developed by the Senior Leadership Team and the Executive for consideration so that they can better reflect the priorities being discussed. 	<p>Continue work to introduce user friendly Scrutiny work programmes.</p> <p>Put in place arrangements to provide Chair and Vice meetings with more detailed information to inform their discussions about Council priorities.</p>	<p>Ongoing</p> <p>By the Council AGM 2019.</p>	<p>Christian Hanagan</p> <p>Christian Hanagan</p>
<p>WAO FFF 5 improve the arrangements in place to evaluate the impact of scrutiny.</p>	<p>AGREED – Steps have already been taken to better evaluate the impact of Scrutiny, which has been reflected in the Annual Scrutiny Report 2017/18. A number of policies have been developed by Scrutiny Work groups and subsequently supported and taken forward and endorsed by Cabinet. An example of this is the work to improve the provision of sanitary products to female learners in schools arising from recommendations from Children and Young People Scrutiny Working Group which received cross party support by Council in February 2018 with a implementation plan being agreed by Cabinet in April 2018.</p>			

Proposals for improvement The Council should	Council's Response	Next Steps	Timescale	Responsible Officer
	The Council is continuing to develop its performance arrangements for Scrutiny so that they can better facilitate improved evaluation of scrutiny. This will include robust action planning to support the delivery of agreed recommendations with appropriate monitoring arrangements in place.	Develop and introduce strengthened performance arrangement to enable Scrutiny committees to better evaluate their impact	By the Council AGM 2019.	Christian Hanagan