

UK GOVERNMENT SHARED PROSPERITY FUND - COMMUNITY GRANT (LOWER-LEVEL AWARD)

APPLICATION ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	LOW (1)	MEDIUM (2)	HIGH (3)
Project plan – Demonstrating Community need (4.b) Extent to which the proposal responds to identified local market need and demand	 Very limited or no evidence that the proposal is addressing local need 	- Some evidence provided that the proposal will meet local need and demand	- Strong and comprehensive evidence that the proposal meets local need and demand
Project Plan – activities and timescales (4.b) Extent to which there is a clear activity with identified timescales and key milestones	 Very limited or no programme plan. No timescales or milestones (or timescales and milestones that are unrealistic) 	 Outline programme plan provided with some timescales and milestones identified. 	 A clear, robust programme plan with identifiable and realistic timescales and milestones.
3. Project Plan – partnership working (4.b) Extent to which the proposal has support / involvement from stakeholders and partners	 Very limited or no evidence that stakeholders are involved 	- Some evidence that stakeholders and user groups are involved and support the proposal	Strong evidence that key stakeholders and user groups are fully involved and support the proposal
4. UK Government Shared Prosperity	 Very limited or no outcomes identified with no information 	 Some outcomes identified with attempt to 	Clear and appropriate outcomes identified which



Fund Objectives (4.c) Your project must meet the UKGSPF objective. Degree of certainty that the projected outputs and outcomes are achievable and measurable	on measurement.	demonstrate how these will be measured.	appear achievable and measurable.
5. Climate Change (4.e) Extent to which the proposal contributes to the councils target of being carbon neutral by 2030	Very limited of no reference to climate change aims and objectives	Some reference to achieving climate change aims and objectives and how this will be done	- Clear evidence that climate change aims and objectives have been considered with detailed plans to outline how this will be achieved.
6. Equality, Diversity & Inclusion Extent to which the proposal will promote Equality, Diversity & Inclusion	Very limited or no reference to integrate Equality, Diversity & Inclusion objectives	- Some reference to achieving Equality, Diversity & Inclusion aims and objectives and how this will be done	- Clear evidence that Equality, Diversity & Inclusion aims and objectives have been considered with detailed plans to outline how this will be achieved.
7. Welsh Language Extent to which the proposal will positively promote Welsh Language.	Very limited or no reference to integrate Welsh Language aims and objectives	Some reference to achieving Welsh Language aims and objectives and how this will be done	 Clear evidence that Welsh Language aims and objectives have been considered with detailed plans to outline how this will be achieved.



8. Proven track record (4.g) Extent to which the applicant has experience in successful project delivery and grant management	Very limited or no proven track record of managing and delivering funding and projects	- Some relevant experience of delivering successful funded projects with RCTCBC or other funders	 Clear evidence demonstrating a proven track record of delivering successful funded projects with RCTCBC or other funders.
9. Sustainability, Exit Strategy & Legacy Extent to which the project can be sustained after the UKG SPF RCTCBC Community Grant ends.	- Project and community benefits likely to cease once UKG SPF RCTCBC Community Grant funding ends	 Reasonable plan in place to source additional funding and/or sustain the project for at least 1 year. Community benefits will likely continue after the funding ends. 	 Clear and realistic plan in place to generate additional income and/or secure additional funding to sustain the project for at least 1 year. Community benefits will continue after the funding ends.
10. Project Costs (5) Extent to which all appropriate expenditure and sources of income have been identified. Extent to which the proposal demonstrates value for money.	 Very limited financial detail provided. No match funding identified Project doesn't offer value for money 	 Some financial detail provided. Some match funding identified Project offers value for money somewhat 	 Comprehensive financial detail provided. Significant match funding identified Project offers good value for money

ASSESSMENT OUTCOME RECORD



Case Reference Number & Name of Organisation	Project Name	
Date of Panel	Panel members	

Assessment Summary	Score (H=3, M=2, L=1)	Comments
Project Plan 1 – Demonstrating Need		
2. Project Plan 2 – Activities and timescales		
3. Project Plan 3 – Working in partnership		
Outcomes and Output projections		
5. Climate Change		
6. Equalities, Diversity & Inclusion		

7. Welsh Language	
8. Proven Track Record	
9. Sustainability, Exit Plan, Legacy	
10. Project Costs / Value for Money	Total Amount Requested: Total Amount Recommended:
TOTAL SCORE	

Officer Assessment Comments

*Delete as appropriate

*A score of 1 has been recorded in one or more sections – *list all that apply* – Therefore the application has been declined.

*A score of less than 23 or less out of 30 has been recorded - Therefore the application has been declined.

*A score of 24 or above out of 30 has been recorded - Therefore the application has been approved to full Assessment Panel.

Officer Assessment Decision		
Approved for full Assessment Panel Consideration		
Declined		
Date		
Case Reference Number		
Full Assessment Panel Decision	Approved/Declined/Conditional Offer	
Date of Full Assessment Panel decision		