



UK GOVERNMENT SHARED PROSPERITY FUND

RHONDDA CYNON TAF COUNTY BOROUGH COUNCIL SUMMER ACTIVITIES MICRO GRANT

APPLICATION ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	LOW (1)	MEDIUM (2)	HIGH (3)
1. Project Plan – activities and timescales (4.c) <i>Extent to which there is a clear activity with identified timescales and key milestones</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Very limited or no programme plan. No timescales or milestones (or timescales and milestones that are unrealistic) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Outline programme plan provided with some timescales and milestones identified. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A clear, robust programme plan with identifiable and realistic timescales and milestones.
2. Project Costs (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Very limited financial detail provided. - Project doesn't offer value for money 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some financial detail provided. - Project offers value for money somewhat 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Comprehensive financial detail provided.

<i>Extent to which all appropriate expenditure and sources of income have been identified. Extent to which the proposal demonstrates value for money.</i>			- Project offers good value for money
---	--	--	---------------------------------------

ASSESSMENT OUTCOME RECORD

Case Reference Number & Name of Organisation	
Date of Panel	
Panel Members	

Assessment Summary	Score (H=3, M=2, L=1)	Comments
1. Project Plan 2 – Activities and timescales		
2. Project Costs / Value for Money		

TOTAL SCORE		
--------------------	--	--

Final Assessment Comments

**Delete as appropriate*

*A score of 1 or below has been recorded in one or more sections. Therefore, the application has been declined.

*A score of less than 4/6 has been recorded. Therefore, the application has been declined.

*A score of 5 or above out of 6 has been recorded. Therefore, the application has been approved.

Final Assessment Decision

Approved

Declined

Date