Alternative Site Representations Register

Corrections Addendum

Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties

The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

AS(D)4 – Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant
AS(D)5 – Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda
AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)
AS(D)18 – Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)
AS(D)19 – Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy
AS(D)23 – Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypidd
AS(D)26 – Miwndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
Site: 1169/ASD005  NSA 5 - Former Fernhill Colliery Site

1. Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

   It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

   Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

   We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

   Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

   The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

   Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties

   The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

   AS(D)4 – Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau
   AS(D)5 – Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda
   AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)
   AS(D)18 – Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)
   AS(D)19 – Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy
   AS(D)23 – Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd
   AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

   The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties
The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

AS(D)4 – Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau
AS(D)5 – Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondd
AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)
AS(D)18 – Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)
AS(D)19 – Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy
AS(D)23 – Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd
AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
### Representation Details

**Rep'n No:** 306.A4  
**Representor:** The Coal Authority

**Site:** 1182/ASD018  
**CS 9.1 - Land at Bryn Pica (including landfilling of residential wastes)**

---

**Item Question**  
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

**Representation Text**

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

- **AS(D)4** – Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau
- **AS(D)5** – Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda
- **AS(D)13** – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)
- **AS(D)18** – Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)
- **AS(D)19** – Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy
- **AS(D)23** – Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd
- **AS(D)26** – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A5</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 1183/ASD019  Land at former Maerdy Colliery Site

**Item Question:** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

- It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

- Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

- We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

- Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

- The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

  **Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

  - The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

    - AS(D)4 – Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau
    - AS(D)5 – Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda
    - AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)
    - AS(D)18 – Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)
    - AS(D)19 – Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy
    - AS(D)23 – Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd
    - AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

  The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A6</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 1187/ASD023   SSA 10.18 - Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd

**Item Question**
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subject to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

- AS(D)4 – Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau
- AS(D)5 – Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda
- AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)
- AS(D)18 – Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)
- AS(D)19 – Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy
- AS(D)23 – Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd
- AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
### Representation Details

**by:** Representation No

**Filtered to show:** (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A7</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 1190/ASD026  SSA 8 - Mwyndy / Talbot Green

**Item Question**

1 - Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Sites for Deletion Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

The Coal Authority has only considered strategic sites in this category, The Coal Authority would be concerned that if the following sites are deleted from the Local Development Plan, then the opportunity to address mining legacy risks and hazards through regeneration or new development would be lost. This would be a loss of an opportunity to positively improve public safety in the County Borough which should be considered by the Council in its decision making process.

- AS(D)4 – Former Cwm Colliery & Coking Works, Tyn-y-nant, Beddau
- AS(D)5 – Former Fernhill Colliery Site, Blaenrhondda
- AS(D)13 – Land South of Hirwaun (Area of mining legacy)
- AS(D)18 – Land at Bryn Pica, Llwydcoed (Area of former workings)
- AS(D)19 – Land at Maerdy Colliery Sites, Maerdy
- AS(D)23 – Gelliwion Reclamation, Pontypridd
- AS(D)26 – Mwyndy/Talbot Green (Area of former mineshafts)

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A8</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 1013/ASN003  Former Phurnacite Plant

Item Question  Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdu AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efel Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrws Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treborch AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A9</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 1014/ASN004  Former Phurnacite Plant

*Item Question  Representation Text*
**Representation Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 3 - 4  | Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site? | It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority. Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009. We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues. Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2. The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy: Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A11
The Coal Authority

Site: 891/ASN010 Land at Coleg Morgannwg

1  Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Educational Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would stress the need to properly address the public safety hazards arising from mining legacy on highly sensitive sites such as those proposed for educational use.

Aberdare AS(N)10
Pontypridd AS(N)118

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representer</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A12</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 953/ASN011  Cwm Farm

Item Question  Representation Text
## Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep’n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td>It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority. Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009. We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues. Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2. The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy: Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2. Abercwmboi AS(N)3 &amp; AS(N)4 Aberdare AS(N)11 Abernant AS(N)13 &amp; AS(N)14 &amp; AS(N)15 &amp; AS(N)16 &amp; AS(N)17 Beddau AS(N)19 Church Village AS(N)33 Cwmaman AS(N)38 Cwmbach AS(N)40 Cwmdare AS(N)42 Cymmer AS(N)44 &amp; AS(N)45 &amp; AS(N)46 Efail Isaf AS(N)48 Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 &amp; AS(N)56 Hirwaun AS(N)76 Llanharri AS(N)83 &amp; AS(N)85 Llantrisant Fardre AS(N)91 &amp; AS(N)92 &amp; AS(N)93 Penhiewer AS(N)109 Penywaun AS(N)111 Pontypridd AS(N)121 Porth AS(N)122 &amp; AS(N)123 &amp; AS(N)124 Tonyrefail AS(N)142 &amp; AS(N)146 &amp; AS(N)149 Trebanog AS(N)152 &amp; AS(N)154 Treherbert AS(N)160 Treftech AS(N)169 &amp; AS(N)172 Tyle Garw AS(N)173</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

### 306.A13

The Coal Authority

**Site:** 869/ASN012   Land at Abernant Road

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Strategic Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

- Abernant AS(N)12
- Blaenrhondda AS(N)26 Former Fernhill Colliery Site
- Hirwaun AS(N)72
- Mwyndy AS(N)105
- Robertstown AS(N)129

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A14</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 993/ASN013  Land at Abernant

**Item Question**  Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmbro AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiewer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A15</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 988/ASN014  Land at Cwmbach Road

Item Question  Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isa AS(N)48
Giffach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrisant AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhos AS(N)109
Penygraig AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tongwynfawr AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
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The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A16</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 951/ASN015  Land West of Abernant Road

Item Question  Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

- Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
- Aberdare AS(N)11
- Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
- Beddau AS(N)19
- Church Village AS(N)33
- Cwmaman AS(N)38
- Cwmbach AS(N)40
- Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
- Efail Isaf AS(N)48
- Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
- Hirwaun AS(N)76
- Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
- Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
- Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
- Penywaun AS(N)111
- Pontypridd AS(N)121
- Pontypridd AS(N)123 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
- Talybont AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
- Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
- Treherbert AS(N)160
- Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
- Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A17</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 954/ASN016  Land rear of Werfa Lane

**Item Question:** Representation Text
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbran AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)148 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A18</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 930/ASN017  Allotment Gardens at Windsor Terrace
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efai Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llancarfan AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrisant AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhynfa AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Gwaw AS(N)173
Representations Details

by: Representation No
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep’n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A19</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 955/ASN019  Land adjacent to High Mead
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmanman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cymmer AS(N)42 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isa AS(N)48
Gillach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrisant AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontycymer AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

10/06/2010
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority. Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafts can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25
Cwmparc AS(N)43
Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71
Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
Site: 883 ASN026 Former Fernhill Colliery Site (policy NSA 5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representation Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>by: Representation No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representer</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A22</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Strategic Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Abernant AS(N)12
Blaenrhondda AS(N)26 Former Fernhill Colliery Site
Hirwaun AS(N)72
Mwyndy AS(N)105
Robertstown AS(N)129

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
**Representation Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A23</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site: 880/ASN027   Former Stelco Hardy Site**

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

- Blaenrhondda AS(N)27
- Hirwaun AS(N)69
- Llanharan AS(N)81
- Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94
- Pontypridd AS(N)120
- Tonyrefail AS(N)140
- Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A24</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 956/ASN033   WPD Depot

**Item Question**  Representation Text
Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gillach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywau AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A25</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 853/ASN038  Plot 10-12 Incline Row

Item Question  Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

- Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
- Aberdare AS(N)11
- Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
- Beddau AS(N)19
- Church Village AS(N)33
- Cwmaman AS(N)38
- Cwmbach AS(N)40
- Cwmderd AS(N)42
- Cymer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
- Efail Iasa AS(N)48
- Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
- Hirwaun AS(N)76
- Llansaw AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
- Llanharry AS(N)85 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
- Penrhys AS(N)109
- Penydaw AS(N)111
- Pontypridd AS(N)121
- Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
- Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
- Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
- Treherbert AS(N)160
- Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
- Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A26</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 846/ASN040  Land at Crichton Farm

Item Question  Representation Text
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrithaf AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiewer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A27</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 990/ASN042  Land at Dare Road

*Item Question  Representation Text*
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cymmer AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrisant AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhis AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representation Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A28</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 914/ASN043  
Land adjacent to Alison House Community Centre

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafts can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25  
Cwmparc AS(N)43  
Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71  
Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A29</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 944/ASN044  Land adjoining Bedw Farm Estate
**Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subject to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4**

**Aberdare AS(N)11**

**Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17**

**Beddau AS(N)19**

**Church Village AS(N)33**

**Cwmaman AS(N)38**

**Cwmbach AS(N)40**

**Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46**

**Efail Isaf AS(N)48**

**Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56**

**Hirwaun AS(N)76**

**Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85**

**Llantrisant Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93**

**Penrhynwy AS(N)109**

**Penywaun AS(N)111**

**Pontypool AS(N)121**

**Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124**

**Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149**

**Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154**

**Treherbert AS(N)160**

**Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172**

**Tyle Gwaw AS(N)173**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representer</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A30</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 926/ASN045  Land East of Aubery Road

**Item Question**  Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywraun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Treforest AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representer</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A31</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 965/ASN046  Land rear of Wynham Street/Kimberley Way

- **Item Question:**
- **Representation Text:**

---
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It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had change to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

### Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

- Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
- Aberdare AS(N)11
- Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
- Beddau AS(N)19
- Church Village AS(N)33
- Cwmaman AS(N)38
- Cwmbrach AS(N)40
- Cwmdare AS(N)42
- Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
- Efail Isaf AS(N)48
- Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
- Hirwaun AS(N)76
- Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
- Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
- Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
- Penywaun AS(N)111
- Pontypridd AS(N)121
- Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
- TONYREFAIL AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
- Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
- Treherbert AS(N)160
- Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
- Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representer</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A32</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 1001/ASN048  Land at Garth Farm

- Item Question
- Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isa AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrisant Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Toneyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)155
Treuherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A33</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 898/ASN054  Land to the south of High Street
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or an the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cymmer AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrithiol AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonna AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A34</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 860/ASN056  Land to the rear of High Street
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

Proposed Mixed Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)64 & AS(N)68
Mwyndy AS(N)103 & AS(N)104
Trecynon AS(N)157

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority. Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafts can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25
Cwmparc AS(N)43
Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71
Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Waste Management/Renewable Energy Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)67 & AS(N)77 & AS(N)78
Llwydcoed AS(N)96
Penywaun AS(N)110

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep’n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A38</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site: 894/ASN068  Hirwaun Industrial Estate**

**Item Question**  Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Mixed Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)64 & AS(N)68  
Mwyndy AS(N)103 & AS(N)104  
Trecynon AS(N)157  

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)27
Hirwaun AS(N)69
Llanharan AS(N)81
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94
Pontypridd AS(N)120
Tonyrefail AS(N)140
Treforest AS(N)158
Treforest AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
Site: 957/ASN071   Tower Colliery Pit Head Site

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority. Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafs can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25
Cwmparc AS(N)43
Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71
Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representative</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A41</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 889/ASN072  Land opposite Gamlyn Terrace

**Item Question**  
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Strategic Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Abernant AS(N)12  
Blaenrhondda AS(N)26 Former Fernhill Colliery Site  
Hirwaun AS(N)72  
Mwyndy AS(N)105  
Robertstown AS(N)129

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representer</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A42</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 976/ASN076  Land adjacent to Rhigos Road

Item Question  Representation Text
**Representation Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 3 - 4  | Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site? | It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmared AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efain Isa AS(N)48
Giffach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharri AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhisre AS(N)109
Pennywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representation Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A43</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 884/ASN077   Land adjacent to Rhigos Road

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

```plaintext
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?
```

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Waste Management/Renewable Energy Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

- Hinwaun AS(N)67 & AS(N)77 & AS(N)78
- Llwydcoed AS(N)96
- Penywaun AS(N)110

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
### Representation Details

**by:** Representation No  
**Filtered to show:** (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representer</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A44</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 877/ASN078   Land adjacent to Rhigos Road

**Item Question**  
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

**Representation Text**

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Waste Management/Renewable Energy Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

- Hirwaun AS(N)67 & AS(N)77 & AS(N)78
- Llwydcoed AS(N)96
- Penywaun AS(N)110

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

---

10/06/2010
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A45</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 999/ASN081  Former Llanharan Sewerage Treatment Works

**Item Question**: Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

- Blaenrhondda AS(N)72
- Hirwaun AS(N)69
- Llanharan AS(N)81
- Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94
- Pontypridd AS(N)120
- Tonyrefail AS(N)140
- Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A46</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 902/ASN083  Land to the north of The Patch
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cymmer AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrisant AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Trehafod AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A47</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 987/ASN085  Land to the north of Llanharry
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharpy AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrisant Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Rep'nor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A48</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 916/ASN091  
Land at Heol Creigiau

**Item Question**  
Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

### Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

**Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4**
- Aberdare AS(N)11
- Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
- Beddau AS(N)19
- Church Village AS(N)33
- Cwmaman AS(N)38
- Cwmbach AS(N)40
- Cwmdare AS(N)42
- Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
- Efail Isaf AS(N)48
- Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
- Hirwaun AS(N)76
- Llanharpyr AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
- Llanrhiw Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
- Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
- Penywaun AS(N)111
- Pontypridd AS(N)121
- Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
- Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
- Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
- Treherbert AS(N)160
- Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
- Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A49</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 1006/ASN092  Ystrad Barwig Isaf

Item Question  Representation Text
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

### Proposed Residential Sites

- Abercwmboli AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
- Aberdare AS(N)11
- Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
- Beddau AS(N)19
- Church Village AS(N)33
- Cwmaman AS(N)38
- Cwmbach AS(N)40
- Cwmdare AS(N)42
- Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
- Efail Isaf AS(N)48
- Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
- Hirwaun AS(N)76
- Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
- Llantrithin AS(N)89 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
- Penrhynfa AS(N)109
- Penywaun AS(N)111
- Pontypridd AS(N)121
- Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
- Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
- Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
- Treherbert AS(N)160
- Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
- Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A50</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 994/ASN093  Newtown Industrial Estate
## Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmderae AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaaf AS(N)48
Gillafach Coch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywau AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

### Site: 911/ASN094  Land at Ystrad Barwig Isaf

#### Item Question Representation Text

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1 | Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site? | It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority. Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009. We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues. Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2. The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

- Blaenrhondda AS(N)27
- Hirwaun AS(N)69
- Llanharan AS(N)81
- Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94
- Pontypridd AS(N)120
- Tonyrefail AS(N)140
- Treforest AS(N)158
- Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

---

**Representation Details**

- **by:** Representation No
- **Filtered to show:** (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A51</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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## Representation Details

**by:** Representation No

**Filtered to show:** (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A52</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 910/ASN095  Land at Ystrad Barwig Isaf

### Item Question

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Retail Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

- Llantwit Fardre AS(N)95
- Trealaw AS(N)150

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
**Site: 1011/ASN096  Land at Bryn Pica**

**Item Question**  
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

**Representation Text**

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Waste Management/Renewable Energy Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

- Hirwaun AS(N)67 & AS(N)77 & AS(N)78
- Llwydcoed AS(N)96
- Penywaun AS(N)110

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A54</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site: 932 ASN103  Land at Mwyndy**

**Item Question**  
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

**Representation Text**

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Mixed Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

- Hirwaun AS(N)64 & AS(N)68
- Mwyndy AS(N)103 & AS(N)104
- Trecynon AS(N)157

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
Site: 913/ASN104  Land at Mwyndy

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Provisional Mixed Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)64 & AS(N)68
Mwyndy AS(N)103 & AS(N)104
Trecynon AS(N)105

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
Representation Details
by: Representation No
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representer</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A56</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 984/ASN105  Land at Mwyndy Quarry

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Strategic Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

- Abernant AS(N)12
- Blaenrhondda AS(N)26 Former Fernhill Colliery Site
- Hirwaun AS(N)72
- Mwyndy AS(N)105
- Robertstown AS(N)129

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Repr'stor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A57</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 842/ASN109  Land at House O "The Trees"
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilloch Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanelly AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrisant AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonypandy AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

**Site: 1015/ASN110   Land South of Penywaun**

### Item Question Representation Text

1. **Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?**

   It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

   Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

   We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

   Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

   The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

   **Proposed Waste Management/Renewable Energy Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

   All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

   Hirwaun AS(N)67 & AS(N)77 & AS(N)78
   Llwydcoed AS(N)96
   Penywaun AS(N)110

   The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representer</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A59</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 866/ASN111  Land opposite Gamlyn Terrace

*Item Question*  *Representation Text*
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmanman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Giffach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharri AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penhwaer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontyriddy AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonymawr AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A60 The Coal Authority

Site: 872/ASN118 University of Glamorgan

1. Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?
   - It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

   Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

   We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

   Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

   The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

   Proposed Educational Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
   - All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would stress the need to properly address the public safety hazards arising from mining legacy on highly sensitive sites such as those proposed for educational use.

   Aberdare AS(N)10
   Pontypridd AS(N)118

   The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
### Representation Details

**Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plan**

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representer</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A61</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 881/ASN120  Treforest Industrial Estate

**Item Question**  Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

- **Representation Text**

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

- Blaenrhonddda AS(N)27
- Hirwaun AS(N)69
- Llanharan AS(N)81
- Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94
- Pontypidd AS(N)120
- Tonyrefail AS(N)140
- Treforest AS(N)158
- Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A62</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 892/ASN121  Land at Ty Draw, Gelliwion

Item Question  Representation Text
**Representation Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

-Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

-We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

-Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

-The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

-Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
-Abertawe AS(N)11
-Abibernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
-Beddau AS(N)19
-Church Village AS(N)33
-Cwmanman AS(N)38
-Cwmbach AS(N)40
-Cwmdu AS(N)42
-Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
-Efail Isaaf AS(N)48
-Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
-Hirwaun AS(N)76
-Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
-Llanrwst Ffordd AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
-Penrhyncoch AS(N)109
-Penywaun AS(N)111
-Pontypidd AS(N)121
-Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
-Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149
-Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
-Treherbert AS(N)160
-Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
-Tyle Garw AS(N)173
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The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A63</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 996/ASN122  Land at Mount Pleasant
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhwiwer AS(N)109
Penysaun AS(N)111
Pontypidd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebagn AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A64</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 940/ASN123  Large site off Llanwonno Road /Lady Lewis Tip

**Item Question**  Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercowboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cymderi AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Giffach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Pendrhyn AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontyridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A65</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 948/ASN124  Land off Hollybush Grove/Penrhigwynt Road

Item Question  Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmbrad AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharany AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(146) & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

Site: 847/ASN129 Land at Ysgubor-wen Farm

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Strategic Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Abernant AS(N)12
Blaenrhondda AS(N)26 Former Fernhill Colliery Site
Hirwaun AS(N)72
Mwyndy AS(N)105
Robertstown AS(N)129

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
## Item Question
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

### Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

- Blaenrhondda AS(N)27
- Hirwaun AS(N)69
- Llanharan AS(N)81
- Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94
- Pontypridd AS(N)120
- Tonyrefail AS(N)140
- Treforest AS(N)158
- Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A68</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 972/ASN142  SSA 10.10 - Land east of Hafod Wen and north of Co
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Gymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Giffach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrwellen AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiewer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Pontypridd AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Trehane AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Trehoroch AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A69</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 1017 ASN146  Land at Tylcha Fach
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abercwmboi AS(N)3 &amp; AS(N)4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberdare AS(N)11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abernant AS(N)13 &amp; AS(N)14 &amp; AS(N)15 &amp; AS(N)16 &amp; AS(N)17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beddau AS(N)19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Village AS(N)33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cwmaman AS(N)38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cwmbach AS(N)40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cwmdare AS(N)42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymmer AS(N)44 &amp; AS(N)45 &amp; AS(N)46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efai Isaf AS(N)48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 &amp; AS(N)56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirwaun AS(N)76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llanhari AS(N)83 &amp; AS(N)85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llantris Fardre AS(N)91 &amp; AS(N)92 &amp; AS(N)93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penrhosfer AS(N)109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penywaun AS(N)111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pontrphys AS(N)121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porth AS(N)122 &amp; AS(N)123 &amp; AS(N)124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonyrefail AS(N)142 &amp; AS(N)146 &amp; AS(N)149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trebanog AS(N)152 &amp; AS(N)154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treherbert AS(N)160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treorchy AS(N)169 &amp; AS(N)172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyle Garw AS(N)173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
### Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plan

**Representation Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A70</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 864/ASN149  Land rear of Mill Street

**Item Question**

**Representation Text**
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llanrwst and Llandegla AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tanygrisiau AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treharris AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A71

Site: 890/ASN150  Ynys Field Site, Dinas Road,

Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Retail Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Llantwit Fardre AS(N)95
Trealaw AS(N)150

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A72</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 874/ASN152  Land south of Trebanog (Rhiw Garn Fawr)

Item Question  Representation Text
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isa AS(N)48
Giffach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiewer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A73</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 947/ASN154  Land rear of Trebanog Road (Rhiw Garn Fawr)
### Representation Details

**by:** Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gillach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhosfa AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A74 The Coal Authority

Site: 968/ASN157 NSA 14.3 - Land at Former Mayhew Chicken Factory

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Mixed Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Hirwaun AS(N)64 & AS(N)68
Mwyndy AS(N)103 & AS(N)104
Trecynon AS(N)157

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)27
Hirwaun AS(N)69
Llanharan AS(N)81
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94
Pontypridd AS(N)120
Tonyrefail AS(N)140
Treforest AS(N)158
Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A76</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 919/ASN160  Former Stelco Hardy Factory
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isa AS(N)48
Gillach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llantwit Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A77</td>
<td>3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

- Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
  - All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafts can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25
Cwmparc AS(N)43
Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71
Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority. Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Community/Leisure/Recreation/Tourism Use Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where known mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. The Coal Authority would remind all parties of the need to properly ensure that the public safety risks arising from mining legacy still need to be properly remediated and addressed on sites put forward for such uses even if no built development is proposed. The risks that mining hazards such as mineshafts can present under open space/parks/green space is well recorded and is a Coal Authority priority for needing to be addressed.

Blaenrhondda AS(N)25
Cwmparc AS(N)43
Hirwaun AS(N)66 & AS(N)71
Treherbert AS(N)162 & AS(N)163

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A79</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 925/ASN169  Land to the north-east of Carne Street
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Gilfach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrisant AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhiwfer AS(N)109
Penywraun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonyrefail AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treorchy AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

Site: 1003/ASN171  Former Burberry Factory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Representation Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

**Proposed Employment/SPZ Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties**

- Blaenrhondda AS(N)27
- Hirwaun AS(N)69
- Llanharan AS(N)81
- Llantwit Fardre AS(N)94
- Pontypridd AS(N)120
- Tonyrefail AS(N)140
- Treorchy AS(N)171

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A81</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 927/ASN172  land at Tynybedw Terrace
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties

All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area Name</th>
<th>AS(N) Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abercwmboi</td>
<td>3 &amp; 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberdare</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abernant</td>
<td>13 &amp; 14 &amp; 15 &amp; 16 &amp; 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beddau</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Church Village</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cwmaman</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cwmbach</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cwmdare</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cymmer</td>
<td>44 &amp; 45 &amp; 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efail Isaf</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilfach Goch</td>
<td>54 &amp; 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirwaun</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llanharry</td>
<td>83 &amp; 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llantrisant</td>
<td>91 &amp; 92 &amp; 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penrhis</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penywaun</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pontypridd</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porth</td>
<td>122 &amp; 123 &amp; 124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonyrefail</td>
<td>142 &amp; 146 &amp; 149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trebanog</td>
<td>152 &amp; 154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treherbert</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treorchy</td>
<td>169 &amp; 172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyle Garw</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.A82</td>
<td>The Coal Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 895/ASN173  Land at Tyle Garw
It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Residential Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
All of these sites are in areas where know mining legacy risk is present under the site or immediately adjacent to the site which would need to be considered if site allocation were to follow. Whilst the designation of housing sites is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional new housing sites within or on the edge of settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new housing sites if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

Abercwmboi AS(N)3 & AS(N)4
Aberdare AS(N)11
Abernant AS(N)13 & AS(N)14 & AS(N)15 & AS(N)16 & AS(N)17
Beddau AS(N)19
Church Village AS(N)33
Cwmaman AS(N)38
Cwmbach AS(N)40
Cwmdare AS(N)42
Cymmer AS(N)44 & AS(N)45 & AS(N)46
Efail Isaf AS(N)48
Giffach Goch AS(N)54 & AS(N)56
Hirwaun AS(N)76
Llanharry AS(N)83 & AS(N)85
Llantrws Fardre AS(N)91 & AS(N)92 & AS(N)93
Penrhynos AS(N)109
Penywaun AS(N)111
Pontypridd AS(N)121
Porth AS(N)122 & AS(N)123 & AS(N)124
Tonypandy AS(N)142 & AS(N)146 & AS(N)149
Trebanog AS(N)152 & AS(N)154
Treherbert AS(N)160
Treharris AS(N)169 & AS(N)172
Tyle Garw AS(N)173
The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.

306.A83 The Coal Authority

Site: 922/ASN177 Lady Lewis Site (Rhondda LP allocation - ENV 11.20

Item Question Representation Text
1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

It has not been possible to examine all the proposed new sites for detailed specific comment, however as it will undoubtedly be the intention of the Local Planning Authority to assess any site taken forward against the criteria utilised for the original allocation proposals then we are satisfied that the risks associated with mining legacy will be taken into account by the Authority.

Detailed comments on mineral safeguarding and mining legacy were made in our comments on the Deposit Draft version of the LDP in March 2009.

We have not had chance to review any proposals for alterations to settlement boundaries. As virtually all of the County Borough lies on the surface coal resource, all of the proposed new sites being put forward have the potential to raise mineral sterilisation issues.

Whilst the designation of settlements and settlement boundaries is a matter for the local community to determine, it should be recognised that the definition of additional areas within such settlements will then lead to the probable identification of further areas where coal working is not acceptable. This will then lead to the potential further sterilisation of extra coal resource, which is already subjected to lots of constraint imposed by the existing settlements. The impact that these new settlement boundaries if added, will be limited if the LPA take a flexible approach to the definition then of areas where coal working is not acceptable in line with the guidance in paragraphs 26 to 31 of MTAN2.

The Coal Authority does have some comments on specific sites as follows in relation to mining legacy:

Proposed Land Reclamation Scheme Sites Put Forward by 3rd Parties
The Coal Authority would support land reclamation on these sites to help address mining legacy risks.

Blaencwm AS(N)23 Former Fernhill Colliery Site
Ynyshir AS(N)177

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments, we are of course willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired. The Coal Authority also wishes to continue to be consulted both informally if required and formally on any future documents.
Alternative Site Representations Register

Corrections Addendum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A1</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site: 859/ASN005  Site B opposite Parc Newydd Farm, Grovers**

**Item Question**
1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

**Comment:**
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question**
2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

**Possible Tests applicable:**
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A2</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 861/ASN009  Site A opposite Parc Newydd Farm, Grovers

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:
- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas
### Representation Details

**Rep'n No:** 4112.A3  
**Representor:** Cardiff Council  

**Site:** 955/ASN019  
**Land adjacent to High Mead**

**Item Question:** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

---

**Item Question:** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

**Possible Tests applicable:**

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas
Site: 887/ASN020  Land south of Brynteg Lane

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?
This representation is not an objection or support based one.
Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.
Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.
To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?
Possible Tests applicable:
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas
**Site: 995/ASN022  Land adjacent to ‘Greenway’**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Soundness Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This representation is not and objection or support based one.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment: The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site. Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site. To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness? |
| Possible Tests applicable: CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring. C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas |
Site: 852/ASN028  Golf Course Site, Brynna Road

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Site: 862/ASN029  Land South of Brynna Road

This representation is not an objection or support based one.
Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.
Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.
To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Possible Tests applicable:
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A8</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 997/ASN031 Llwynau Farm

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:
- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Site: 946/ASN032  North of Talygarn House

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?
This representation is not an objection or support based one.
Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.
Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.
To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?
Possible Tests applicable:
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Representation Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This representation is not an objection or support based one.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item Question</td>
<td>Soundness Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible Tests applicable:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Representation Details

**Rep'n No:** 4112.A11  
**Representor:** Cardiff Council  
**Agent:** C

**Site:** 985/ASN034, Land r/o Cilfynydd Road/ Bodwenarth

**Item Question:** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

**Comment:**

This representation is not an objection or support based one. The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site. Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

---

**Item Question:** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

**Possible Tests applicable:**

- **CE3** There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- **C1** It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.

---
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This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Possible Tests applicable:
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Site: 904/ASN049 Land south of Heol Iscoed

Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site. Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site. To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Possible Tests applicable:
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A14</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 970/ASN050  Land of Heol y Parc

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.  

**Comment:**  
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.  
Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.  
To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:  
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.  
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Site: 961/ASN051  Land adjacent to the Willows and Heol Dowlais

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Possible Tests applicable:
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A16</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 886/ASN052  Ffrwd Phillip Farm

---

**Representation Text**

1. **Item Question**
   - Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

   **Comment:**
   
   The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

   Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

   To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

---

**Soundness Test**

2. **Item Question**
   - Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

   **Possible Tests applicable:**
   
   CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

   C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Representation Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl site?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This representation is not and objection or support based one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Soundness Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible Tests applicable:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Representation Details

**Site:** 962/ASN062  Land rear of Llwynpennau Cottages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Representation Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This representation is not and objection or support based one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site. Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site. To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Soundness Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible Tests applicable:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Representation Details

**Site:** 977/ASN063   Land south of A4119

**Cardiff Council**

#### Item Question: Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

#### Item Question: Why Inc/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

- **CE3** There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- **C1** It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Possible Tests applicable:
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A21</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 1000/ASN080  Land east of The Bungalow, Llantrisant Road

**Item Question**  Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site. To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Soundness Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
**Site: 878/ASN082  Land at the cricket field**

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

---

**Item Question** | **Soundness Test**
--- | ---
2 5 | Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:
- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A23</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site: 1008/ASN084  Land at Elms Farm**

**Item Question**

3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Possible Tests applicable:**

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A24</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site: 987/ASN085  Land to the north of Llanharry**

**Item Question**

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question**

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.

10/06/2010
### Representation Details

**Site:** 941/ASN087  
**Land at Felindre Road, St. Mary Hill**

#### Representation Text

1 3 - 4  
**Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?**

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

---

#### Soundness Test

2 5  
**Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?**

Possible Tests applicable:

- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
**Representation Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A26</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 916/ASN091  Land at Heol Creigiau

**Item Question:** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

**Comment:**
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question:** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

**Possible Tests applicable:**
- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

---

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representation Details</th>
<th>Rhondda Cynon Taf County Borough Council Local Development Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rep'n No</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cardiff Council</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site:</strong></td>
<td><strong>992/ASN097 Land off Hospital Site</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Item Question** | **Representation Text**
--- | ---
1 3 - 4 | Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.
Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

---

**Item Question** | **Soundness Test**
--- | ---
2 5 | Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Representation Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This representation is not and objection or support based one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment: The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site. Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site. To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Soundness Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible Tests applicable: CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring. C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A30</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 929/ASN107  Land at former allotment at Pantygraigwen Road

**Item Question:** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question:** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Site: 928/ASN108  Land at former Allotment site at Pantygraigwen Road

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?
   
   This representation is not an objection or support based one.
   
   Comment:
   
   The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.
   
   Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.
   
   To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?
   
   Possible Tests applicable:
   
   CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
   
   C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Site: 973/ASN113  Ceulan Stud, Pontyclun

1 3 - 4  Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?
This representation is not an objection or support based one.
Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Possible Tests applicable:
- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Site: 850/ASN116  Land at Heatherview Road

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

---

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

1 3 - 4

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

---

**Item Question** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

2 5

Possible Tests applicable:
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
### Site: 892/ASN121  Land at Ty Draw, Gelliwion

**Item Question**  Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

### Item Question  Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A35</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 996/ASN122   Land at Mount Pleasant

**Item Question:** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question:** Soundness Test

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
**Representation Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A36</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 940/ASN123 Large site off Llanwonno Road /Lady Lewis Tip

**Item Question**  
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question**  
Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

**Possible Tests applicable:**

- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representation No</th>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Site:</th>
<th>Representation Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A37</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>948/ASN124 Land off Hollybush Grove/Penrhiwgwynt Road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Item Question**: Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.

**Comment**: The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

---

**Item Question**: Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A38</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Site: 900/ASN127  Land adjacent to Glyn Taff Farm

**Item Question** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Representations Details

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=A; Status=Blank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A39</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 875/ASN128  Alexon Site, Cardiff Road

**Item Question:** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not objection or support based one.

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question:** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.

---
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**Site: 1004/ASN132  Forgemasters Site**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Representation Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This representation is not and objection or support based one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Item Question** | **Soundness Test**
| 2 5 | Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness? |
|   | Possible Tests applicable: |
|   | CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring. |
|   | C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas |
Site 905/ASN133  Land at Garth Isaf Farm

1 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?
   This representation is not and objection or support based one.
   Comment:
   The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.
   Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.
   To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

2 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?
   Possible Tests applicable:
   CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
   C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Possible Tests applicable:
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
**Representation Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A43</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 982/ASN136  Land South of the M4, North of St. Annes Court

**Item Question**  Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question**  Soundness Test

**Possible Tests applicable:**

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
**Representation Details**

**Site:** 1018/ASN137   Land at Talygarn

**Cardiff Council**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Representation Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This representation is not an objection or support based one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Soundness Test**

| 2 5 | Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness? |
|     | Possible Tests applicable: |
|     | CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring. |
|     | C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas |
 Representation Details

Site: 868/ASN138  Land at Church Road

Item Question Representation Text

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question Soundness Test

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Site: 986/ASN141  Land South of Gilfach Road

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Possible Tests applicable:

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
### Representation Details

**Representation No**: 4112.A47  
**Representor**: Cardiff Council

**Site**: 972/ASN142  
**SSA 10.10 - Land east of Hafod Wen and north of Co Cardiff Council**

**Item Question**  
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

**Comment**:  
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.  
Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.  
To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question**  
Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

**Possible Tests applicable**:  
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.  
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Site: 863/ASN143  St. Johns The Baptist's Church

**Item Question**  | **Representation Text**
---|---
1 3 - 4 | Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question**  | **Soundness Test**
---|---
2 5 | Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:
- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Site: 991/ASN145  Land at Trane Farm

**Item Question**  Representation Text

1 3 - 4  Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question**  Soundness Test

2 5  Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Site: 1017/ASN146  Land at Tylcha Fach

1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?
   This representation is not an objection or support based one.
   Comment:
   The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.
   Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.
   To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?
   Possible Tests applicable:
   CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
   C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Site: 969/ASN147   Land off Mill Street</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A51</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Item Question**: Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

- This representation is not an objection or support based one.

**Comment**: The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

### Soundness Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>2 - 5</th>
<th>Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Possible Tests applicable:</td>
<td>CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item Question</td>
<td>Representation Text</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This representation is not an objection or support based one.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment: The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site. Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site. To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item Question</td>
<td>Soundness Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible Tests applicable: CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring. C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.
Site: 945/ASN151  Land fronting Trebanog Road (Rhiw Garn Fawr)

Item Question     Representation Text
1 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?
   This representation is not an objection or support based one.
   Comment:
   The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.
   Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.
   To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

Item Question     Soundness Test
2 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?
   Possible Tests applicable:
   CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
   C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas
**Item Question**  
**Representation Text**

1 3 - 4  
Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not and objection or support based one.  
Comment:
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.  
Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.  
To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Soundness Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Possible Tests applicable:  
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.  
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A56</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 938/ASN153   Land adjacent to Rhiw Garn (Rhiw Garn Fawr)

**Item Question**

1 - 3  Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

- This representation is not a support or objection based one.

**Comment:**

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question**

2 - 5  Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

- Possible Tests applicable:
  - CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
  - C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Representations Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A57</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 947/ASN154 Land rear of Trebanog Road (Rhiw Garn Fawr)

**Item Question:** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

- This representation is not an objection or support based one.
- Comment:
  - The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.
  - Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.
  - To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question:** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

- Possible Tests applicable:
  - CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
  - C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Question</th>
<th>Representation Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This representation is not and objection or support based one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comment: The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site. Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site. To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible Tests applicable: CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring. C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Representation Details

**Rep’n No:** 4112.A59  
**Representor:** Cardiff Council  
**Site:** 895/ASN173  
**Land at Tyle Garw**

**Item Question** | **Representation Text**
--- | ---
1 | Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?  
   **Comment:**  
The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.  
Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.  
To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

---

**Item Question** | **Soundness Test**
--- | ---
2 | Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?  
   **Possible Tests applicable:**  
CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.  
C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
**Representations Details**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A60</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 1002/ASN176  Land to the west of Ynyshir

**Item Question:** Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

This representation is not an objection or support based one.

Comment:

The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question:** Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

Possible Tests applicable:

- CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
### Representation Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representor</th>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Accession No</th>
<th>Date Lodged</th>
<th>Late?</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Status Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4112.A61</td>
<td>Cardiff Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site:** 939/ASN178  Land at Buarth y Capel

**Item Question** Representation Text

1. 3 - 4 Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?

   This representation is not an objection or support based one.

   Comment:
   
   The sites identified in the table above are all located within an estimated 30 minutes drive time from the centre of the City and County of Cardiff. All sites are either fully residential in nature or have a residential component and will therefore likely to represent a potential point of origination for commuters into Cardiff. This may have a likely impact on surrounding transportation infrastructure within Cardiff in terms of highway and public transport. This impact will be variable depending on the type and density of housing permitted at each site.

   Cardiff Council would encourage the use of measures to support and encourage the use of sustainable transport modes in relation to these sites including the use of travel plans, residential travel plans secured via planning obligations, and appropriate maximum parking standards for each site.

   To this end we would emphasise the need for explicit and clear travel planning policies, the use of maximum parking standards, policies that support the provision of sustainable transport and active travel measures, parking enforcement, and monitoring and enforcement of conditions attached to developments.

**Item Question** Soundness Test

2. 5 Why Incl/Excl of site improves soundness?

   Possible Tests applicable:
   
   CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

   C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or adjoining areas.
Alternative Site Representations Register

Corrections Addendum

Representation 564.A10
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representation Details</th>
<th>Site: 1047/ASS097   West of Liechau</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>564.A9</td>
<td>Llanharry Community Council</td>
<td>Site: 1047/ASS097   West of Liechau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Item Question</strong></td>
<td><strong>Representation Text</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td>We support the deletion of this site as it is totally unsuitable for development.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representation Details</th>
<th>Site: 902/ASN083   Land to the north of The Patch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>564.A10</td>
<td>Llanharry Community Council</td>
<td>Site: 902/ASN083   Land to the north of The Patch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Item Question</strong></td>
<td><strong>Representation Text</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 3 - 4</td>
<td>Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site?</td>
<td>Site of historic interest, tumulus in adjacent field, which is also the site of a Bronze Age Beaker man. No road access/ infrastructure. If included within the settlement boundary could cause over-development of the area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rep'n No</th>
<th>Representation Details</th>
<th>Site: 846/ASN040   Land at Crichton Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>580.A1</td>
<td>Welsh Assembly Government</td>
<td>Site: 846/ASN040   Land at Crichton Farm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Item Question</strong></td>
<td><strong>Representation Text</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1 3 - 4  | Support (Yes) or object (No) to incl of site? | I am writing in relation to the consultation on the above new site which appears on the Local Development Plan Alternative Site Register which covers Cwmbach. I have visited the site and wish to make the following observations:-

The area is one of natural beauty and of recreational and educational benefit to local residents. Previously a site showing the scars of industrial activity, it now has established woods of broad-leaf trees and a diverse habitat and encouraging a wide variety of wildlife. Currently it acts as a very well used and valued green area for the community of Cwmbach.

Plans to remove the disused tips means a total transformation of the site, loss of trees and habitat and, not lease, many months of dust and the noise of excavation and transportation of spoil.

I should be grateful if you would consider these points when weighing up responses to the consultation process. |